

April 15, 2005

Agency of Natural Resources
Department of Environmental Conservation
Municipal Pollution Control Projects Priority List for State FY 2005 and
Clean Water Intended Use Plan for Federal FY 2004

Municipalities and Interested Parties:

On September 13, 2004, the Department published notice of the availability of the Draft Municipal Pollution Control Projects Priority List for state fiscal year 2005 and the Draft Clean Water Intended Use Plan for federal fiscal year 2004 for review and comment, and of the public hearing held on October 13, 2004. Copies of the draft documents were mailed to municipalities and other interested parties under cover letter dated September 7, 2004. A Public Responsiveness Summary has been prepared to address the oral and written comments received by the Department at the public hearing and through the comment period. The Public Responsiveness Summary is attached.

Pursuant to the authority provided in the State of Vermont Municipal Pollution Control Priority System, the Department of Environmental Conservation has adopted the priority list as it appears on the following sheets. The *FY 2005 Pollution Control Projects Priority List* indicates those projects the Department anticipates will be receiving state or federal funding through the end of state fiscal year 2005 and until the FY 2006 list is adopted. The 2004 Clean Water Intended Use Plan, which sets forth how the federal fiscal year 2004 Clean Water Capitalization Grant for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) will be used, is attached to the Priority List, as are the Department list of projects anticipated to be funded during fiscal years 2006 through 2009. This listing, also known as the planning list or 'P-list' presents those projects potentially fundable from grant programs or the revolving loan program over the next five state fiscal years, and is based on anticipated federal and state appropriations.

If you have any questions or desire clarification of any of the content of these documents, please contact Larry Fitch (802-241-3742) at the Facilities Engineering Division.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Wennberg, Commissioner
Department of Environmental Conservation

**VERMONT AGENCY OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION**

State FY 2005 Municipal Pollution Control Project Priority List
and Federal FY 2004 Clean Water Intended Use Plan

General Information

1. Project priority points awarded in accordance with the Department's Municipal Pollution Control Priority System are listed in the far-right column of the FY 2005 Pollution Control Project Priority List. The points have no significance for the phased-grant projects as the language in the 2003 Capital Bill effectively grants these projects equal elevated priority for 2004 or 2005 funds - whichever year they go to construction, and for subsequent years to complete the grants which they are eligible for.
2. Priority points on the Planning List or 'P-List' for future years do indicate the priority in which construction projects will be funded. Whether all construction projects ready to proceed in a particular year will be funded depends on the amount of funds allocated to the program by the Vermont legislature and the through the federal capitalization grant for the Clean Water State/EPA Revolving Loan Fund (CWSRF). Planning projects are not funded in order of their priority, but rather at a rate necessary to bring sufficient projects to the implementation phase to use all of the anticipated grant and loan funds each year.
3. The Clean and Clear Initiative provides funds for Phosphorus Removal Projects at wastewater treatment plants which are identified as requiring reduced phosphorus discharge limits in the Lake Champlain Phosphorus TMDL report.
4. Appearance of projects on the Project Priority List indicates eligibility for funding assuming all other requirements are met. The dollar amounts may change from those listed as project cost changes affect the pro-rating of available grant amounts.
5. In August 2004 all municipalities with wastewater facilities were surveyed as to their anticipated need for funding of infrastructure projects over the next five years, and these were included on the appropriate year Project List.
6. The Discharge Permits for all municipal wastewater facilities were reviewed for requirements which might result in of infrastructure projects over the next five years, and these were included on the appropriate year Project List.

Vermont Agency of Natural Resources
 Department of Environmental Conservation
FY 2005 Pollution Control Construction Projects Priority List

Type / Municipality / Description (opt.)	Estimated Project Cost	State Grant		Reclaimed Planning	SRF Loan	Points
-Dry Weather Flow Pollution Abatement-						
Pownal - Contracts 3 & 4	4,800,000	315,738	1			44.46
Pownal - Contracts 1 & 5*	7,400,000	*				44.46
Rochester - New Disposal System	1,990,519	159,061	1		-159,061	16.17
-Phosphorus Removal-						
Ludlow - Part of Phosphorus Grant	1,010,600	184,458	1			21.99
Richmond - Part of Grant Increase	3,900,000	147,272	2		-147,272	21.65
Springfield - Part of Phosphorus Grant	9,700,000	535,466	1		-535,466	23.23
Troy/Jay - A44Phosphorus Grant	100,000	100,000			-42,000	7.19
-Enlargement-						
Milton - WWTF Enlargement / Upgrade	9,700,000	1,192,811	1	14,728	6,300,000	10.02
Putney	1,200,000				1,000,000	12.00
-Combined Sewer Overflow Abatement-						
Springfield - Phase 2, Contract 4	2,800,000	168,082	1	31,638	500,000	10.59
St. Johnsbury - Phase A&B - Partial Grant	2,500,000	116,798	1	107,561	850,000	17.99
-Refurbishment-						
Chester - Partial Rural Development Fund	1,500,000				1,000,000	12.25
Newport City	4,000,000				4,000,000	13.03
Putney	1,200,000				1,000,000	12.00
-Other-						
Burlington Digester Rehab - North & East	3,300,000	493,315	1	9,144	2,400,000	12.03
Burlington Winooski Sewer Break	300,000				300,000	14.95
Colchester - Loan Prog.for Onsite Systems	150,000/year				150,000	4.25
-Stormwater-						
South Burlington, various projects	60,000/year				60,000	5.21

Note: Above entries may change as adjustments are made to project costs and eligibility determinations.

1: These are the projects appearing on the "Wastewater Project Phase-In List from the Capital Bill Conference Committee; 2003 Legislative Session".

2: The grant increase needed for this project was not subject to the 'split funding', but the municipality has agreed to delay a portion of its needed grant increase to afford optimum project implementation of those that were affected.

*Pownal Contracts 1 and 5 start this year but are not grant fundable until future year appropriations are made.

Vermont Agency of Natural Resources
 Department of Environmental Conservation
FY 2005 Pollution Control Planning Projects List

Project Type	Municipality	Description	Preliminary Engineering		Final Design		Points
			(EPA)	(SRF)	(EPA)	(SRF)	
CSO	Barton			25,000			9.96
CSO	Newport City			20,000			3.70
DWF	Barton	Sewer Failed Septic		5,000			7.45
DWF	Brighton	Sewer Failed Septic		40,000			8.25
DWF	Castleton	Sewer Failed Septic		110,000			8.89
DWF	Georgia	New Facilities	10,000	150,000			5.91
DWF	Grafton	New Facility		40,000			20.92
DWF	Orleans	Sewer Failed Septic		15,000			7.45
DWF	Shaftsbury	Feasibility Study	25,000				4.58
DWF	Weston	Feasibility Study	20,000				2.80
ENL	Hinesburg	Feasibility Study		15,000			5.10
EXT	Bradford	Lower Plains Sewer				75,000	5.95
EXT	Morristown					60,000	5.01
EXT	Northfield	South End		75,000			8.30
EXT	Richmond	Sewer System Improve				60,000	5.12
OTH	Waitsfield	New Facility				360,000	13.08
PHOS	Hardwick	LC TMDL		15,000		40,000	15.50
PHOS	Richford	LC TMDL				35,000	8.85
PHOS	Troy/Jay	LC TMDL				30,000	7.19
PHOS	Waterbury	LC TMDL		10,000		25,000	4.58
REF	Barton			20,000			9.19
REF	Jeffersonville			5,000		25,000	3.70
REF	Marshfield	Aeration and Pump Sta		5,000			11.00
REF	Newport City	Design Revisions		5,000		60,000	13.89
REF	South Burlington	Airport Pkwy; and EXP				690,000	6.79
STW	Lyndon	Main Street		10,000			4.81
STW	South Burlington	various projects		50,000		60,000	5.21
STW	Winooski	Stormwater Planning		10,000			4.82

Note 1: Planning projects may be added or deleted from the list, or funding shifted between EPA and SRF without formal amendment.

Note2: Priority Points assigned to projects during early planning are subject to significant change.

VERMONT
CLEAN WATER INTENDED USE PLAN
- PROPOSED -
FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2004

A. Introduction

Vermont has agreed to submit to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, as part of its annual application for a Clean Water Capitalization Grant under Title VI of the Water Quality Act of 1987, (the Act), a Clean Water Intended Use Plan (CWIUP) which meets requirements of Section 606(c) of the Act and the Clean Water Capitalization Grant Agreement. The CWIUP serves as the planning document to explain how each fiscal year's appropriation for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) will be used.

B. Short Term Goals and Objectives

Vermont holds the following short term goals and objectives for use of the CWSRF.

- (1) To coordinate NPDES permit schedules with anticipated award of CWSRF loans such that projects are brought into preliminary and final planning in time to assure their readiness to proceed to construction when capitalization grant funds become available.
- (2) To develop any rules, guidance or procedures necessary to implement the Vermont - EPA pollution control revolving fund.
- (3) To provide local government with low cost financial assistance.
- (4) To coordinate state funded programs for wastewater treatment facilities with activities of the CWSRF.
- (5) To provide effective program management to insure integrity of the CWSRF.

C. Long Term Goals and Objectives

The primary long term goal of the CWSRF is to provide financial assistance to Vermont municipalities to fund the completion of all known enforceable requirements of the Act.

The second long term goal of the CWSRF is to insure the fund operates in perpetuity to provide continuing financial assistance to Vermont municipalities for future pollution control needs to include new wastewater treatment facility construction, existing facility enlargement or refurbishment, sewer extensions, combined sewer overflow abatement, sludge and septage treatment and disposal, stormwater management facilities, advanced waste treatment determined necessary to meet water quality standards, and other projects deemed necessary for pollution control.

Combined sewer overflow abatement projects presently receive a CWSRF loan in the amount of 50% of the total eligible project cost, and a 25% construction grant from state funds. The remaining 25% of project funds comes from local bond issues. Certain sludge and septage treatment and disposal projects presently qualify for a mix of 50% CWSRF loan and 50% state grant on eligible project cost. If, in the future, sufficient state capital funds cannot be secured to provide the grants, it may become necessary to fund CSO and sludge and septage projects with a loan on the total project in order to assure the most beneficial use of the federal loan funds.

Other eligible projects will receive CWSRF loans on 100% of eligible project cost. Such eligible projects include: sewer extensions, facility enlargement and refurbishment, and sludge and septage treatment improvements and disposal that do not qualify for state grant funding. State grants may still be awarded to projects that insure other public benefit by using federal matching funds which would otherwise be lost to the state's overall pollution control program.

Although the CWSRF may be used for the refinancing of local debt obligations incurred after March 7, 1985, Vermont does not intend to use the fund in this way until all necessary pollution control projects have been constructed, unless there is compelling public benefit to be secured. The interest rate on CWSRF loans issued to refinance debt has been set at eighty percent of the average rate on marketable obligations of the state. All other CWSRF loans are currently issued at zero percent interest. An administrative fee of up to two percent on construction loans took effect on July 1, 1999. The fee is charged for all new construction loans, with the exception of CSO projects and those projects which the state has made a previous commitment to fund with no interest or fee. Fee proceeds will be deposited into a dedicated account separate from the CWSRF account, referred to as the administrative account. In the future, if funds held in the administrative account are in excess of that necessary to fund the state's administration of the CWSRF program, some of those funds may be transferred to the CWSRF account for project funding.

D. CWSRF Capitalization Grants for Federal Fiscal Year 2004 and Future Years

The federal fiscal year 2004 capitalization grant allotment available to Vermont is \$6,471,800, as authorized by Title VI of the Clean Water Act. We will apply for the grant on a schedule as determined appropriate following the SFY 2005 Priority List Hearing. For planning purposes, we are projecting future capitalization grants at the \$6.0 M level as shown in the attached 5-year pollution control projects planning document, also referred to as the P-list. The P-list shows anticipated planning and construction projects for the immediate five-year period inclusive of state fiscal year 2005.

E. Criteria and Methods for Distribution of Funds

The Vermont CWSRF initiated operations in FY89 and all initial financial assistance activities of the CWSRF have been in the form of loans. Loans will continue to be made in accordance with a project's priority list ranking (noted on the Priority List) established annually through the Municipal Pollution Control Priority System.

The Vermont General Assembly enacted Act 75 creating 24 V.S.A. Chapter 120 in the 1987 session, which act established Vermont's Clean Water Revolving Fund and set out certain priority criteria for the purpose of ranking prospective projects. The Municipal Pollution Control Priority System incorporates those criteria in addition to criteria required in federal construction grant regulations 40 CFR Section 35.915.

F. Re-allocated or Additional Federal Economic Stimulus Funds

In the event funds are re-allocated from the DWSRF to the CWSRF or additional federal funds are made available beyond the anticipated amount, Vermont will advance these funds to the appropriate projects in accordance with this Intended Use Plan, the Municipal Pollution Control Priority System, and any specific requirements of the re-allocated funds.

G. Public Participation

Vermont implements public participation procedures described in 40 CFR Part 25 during development of the annual Pollution Control Priority List (PPL) and CWIUP. The CWIUP is developed and adopted annually along with the PPL using the same public participation procedure employed for adoption of the PPL. That procedure is outlined in the *Municipal Pollution Control Priority System*.

Vermont implements public participation relating to project-specific environmental review for CWSRF funded projects in accordance with the department's *Environmental Review Procedures For Projects Funded Through the Vermont/EPA Revolving Loan Program*. This procedure was approved by the EPA Regional Administrator in accordance with the August 2, 1989 CWSRF Operating Agreement between the State of Vermont and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I.

H. Annual Report

In accordance with the requirements of Section 606(d) of the Act, Vermont will provide EPA with an Annual Report, which will be submitted to EPA within 90 days of the end of the state fiscal year. The Annual Report will contain information on how the state has met the goals and objectives of the previous fiscal year as stated in the IUP and grant agreement. The Annual Report will describe how well the existing SRF financial operating policies, alone or in combination with other state financial assistance programs, will provide for the long term fiscal health of the fund, assist communities to increase local responsibility for compliance, and carry out other provisions specified in the State Clean Water Strategy. The Annual Report will also provide information on loan recipients, loan awards/disbursements, and environmental assessment determinations.

The Annual Report will also establish that the State has:

- (1) Reviewed all CWSRF funded section 212 projects in accordance with the approved environmental review procedures (section 602(a));
- (2) Deposited its match on or before the date on which each quarterly grant payment was made (section 602(b)(2));
- (3) Made binding commitments to provide assistance equal to 120 percent of the amount of each grant payment within one year after receiving the grant payment (section 602(b)(3)); and
- (4) Expended all funds in an expeditious and timely manner (section 206(b)(4)).

I. **Project Funding**

**Projects/Activities Anticipated to Receive Federal FY2004 CWSRF Funds*
(Award of FFY2004 Funds are anticipated to be made during SFY05)**

Loan Recipient	Project Description	Total Project Cost	SRF Loan	Federal Share FFY 2004 Funds
Town of Milton	WWTF Upgrade	9,700,000	4,755,514'	3,962,928
City of Newport	WWTF Refurbishment	2,700,000	2,700,000	2,250,000
Subtotal		<u>12,400,000</u>	<u>7,455,514</u>	<u>6,212,928</u>
Vermont SMRF	Administrative Expense	500,000	-	258,872
Total				<u>6,471,800</u>

* Costs will change as projects are refined

' Additional funds will be available from fund reserves

Extended Portion Of Intended Use Plan Project

Funding List*

ADDISON TOWN
ALBANY TOWN
Albany Village
ALBURG TOWN
Alburg Fire District #1
Alburg Village
Algiers Fire District #1
ANDOVER TOWN
ARLINGTON TOWN
ATHENS TOWN
BAKERSFIELD TOWN
Bakersfield Fire District #1
BALTIMORE TOWN
BARNARD TOWN
BARNET TOWN
BARRE CITY
BARRE TOWN
BARTON TOWN
Barton Village
Bellows Falls Village
BELVIDERE TOWN
BENNINGTON TOWN
BENSON TOWN
BERKSHIRE TOWN
BERLIN TOWN
BETHEL TOWN BLOOMFIELD
TOWN
BOLTON TOWN
BRADFORD TOWN
BRAINTREE TOWN
BRANDON TOWN
Brandon Fire District #1
Brandon Fire District #2
BRATTLEBORO TOWN
BRIDGEWATER TOWN
BRIDPORT TOWN
BRIGHTON TOWN
BRISTOL TOWN
Bristol Village
BROOKFIELD TOWN
BROOKLINE TOWN
BROWNINGTON TOWN
BRUNSWICK TOWN
BURKE TOWN
BURLINGTON CITY
CABOT TOWN
Cabot Village
CALAIS TOWN
CAMBRIDGE TOWN
Cambridge Village

CANAAN TOWN
CASTLETON TOWN
Castleton Fire District #1
Castleton Fire District #3
CAVENDISH TOWN
Cavendish Fire District #1
Cavendish Fire District #2
CHARLESTON TOWN
CHARLOTTE TOWN
CHELSEA TOWN
CHESTER TOWN
CHITTENDEN TOWN
CLARENDON TOWN
COLCHESTER TOWN
Colchester Fire District #1
Colchester Fire District #2
Colchester Fire District #3
Cold Brook Fire District #1
CONCORD TOWN
CORINTH TOWN
CORNWALL TOWN
COVENTRY TOWN
CRAFTSBURY TOWN
DANBY TOWN
Danby-Mt. Tabor Fire District#1
DANVILLE TOWN
Danville Fire District #1
DERBY TOWN
Derby Center Village
Derby Line Village
DORSET TOWN
DOVER TOWN
DUMMERSTON TOWN
DUXBURY TOWN
EAST HAVEN TOWN
EAST MONTEPELIER TOWN
EDEN TOWN
ELMORE TOWN
Enosburg Falls Village
ENOSBURG TOWN
ESSEX TOWN
Essex Junction Village
FAIR HAVEN TOWN
FAIRFAX TOWN
FAIRFIELD TOWN
Fairfield Fire District #1
FAIRLEE TOWN
FAYSTON TOWN
FERRISBURGH TOWN
Ferrisburgh Fire District #1
FLETCHER TOWN
FRANKLIN TOWN
GEORGIA TOWN
GLOVER TOWN
GOSHEN TOWN
GRAFTON TOWN
GRANBY TOWN
GRAND ISLE TOWN
Grand Isle Fire District #4
Graniteville Fire District #4
GRANVILLE TOWN
GREENSBORO TOWN

GROTON TOWN
Groton Village
GUILDHALL TOWN
GUILFORD TOWN
H2O F.F.D. #2 (Fairfield 2)
HALIFAX TOWN
HANCOCK TOWN
HARDWICK TOWN
HARTFORD TOWN
HARTLAND TOWN
HIGHGATE TOWN
HINESBURG TOWN
HOLLAND TOWN
HUBBARDTON TOWN
HUNTINGTON TOWN
Huntington Woods Fire District
HYDE PARK TOWN
Hyde Park Village
IRA TOWN
IRASBURG TOWN
ISLE LA MOTTE TOWN
Jacksonville Village
JAMAICA TOWN
JAY TOWN
Jeffersonville Village
JERICHO TOWN
Jericho Fire District #1
Jericho Village
JOHNSON TOWN
Johnson Village
KILLINGTON TOWN
KIRBY TOWN
LANDGROVE TOWN
LEICESTER TOWN
LEMINGTON TOWN
LINCOLN TOWN
LONDONDERRY TOWN
LOWELL TOWN
LUDLOW TOWN
Ludlow Village
LUNENBURG TOWN
Lunenburg Fire District #1
Lunenburg Fire District #2
LYNDON TOWN
Lyndonville Village
MAIDSTONE TOWN
MANCHESTER TOWN
Manchester Village
MARLBORO TOWN
MARSHFIELD TOWN
Marshfield Village
MENDON TOWN
MIDDLEBURY TOWN
MIDDLESEX TOWN
MIDDLETOWN SPRS TOWN
MILTON TOWN
MONKTON TOWN MONTGOMERY
TOWN
MONTEPELIER CITY
Montpelier Fire District #1
MORETOWN TOWN
MORGAN TOWN

MORRISTOWN TOWN
 Morrisville Village
 MOUNT HOLLY TOWN
 MOUNT TABOR TOWN
 NEW HAVEN TOWN
 NEWARK TOWN
 NEWBURY TOWN
 Newbury Village
 NEWFANE TOWN
 Newfane Village
 NEWPORT CITY
 NEWPORT TOWN
 North Bennington Village
 North Branch Fire District #1
 NORTH HERO TOWN
 North Troy Village
 North Westminster Village
 NORTHFIELD TOWN
 Northfield Village
 NORTON TOWN
 NORWICH TOWN
 Norwich Fire District #1
 Old Bennington Village
 ORANGE TOWN
 Orleans Village
 ORWELL TOWN
 PANTON TOWN
 PAWLET TOWN
 PEACHAM TOWN
 Peacham Fire District #1
 Perkinsville Village
 PERU TOWN
 PITTSFIELD TOWN
 PITTSFORD TOWN
 Pittsford Fire District #1
 PLAINFIELD TOWN
 PLYMOUTH TOWN
 POMFRET TOWN
 POULTNEY TOWN
 Poultney Village
 POWNAL TOWN
 Pownal Fire District #2
 PROCTOR TOWN
 PUTNEY TOWN
 RANDOLPH TOWN
 Randolph Fire District #1
 Randolph Village
 READING TOWN
 READSBORO TOWN
 RICHFORD TOWN
 Richford Village
 RICHMOND TOWN
 RIPTON TOWN
 ROCHESTER TOWN
 ROCKINGHAM TOWN
 ROXBURY TOWN
 ROYALTON TOWN
 Royalton Fire District #1
 RUPERT TOWN
 RUTLAND CITY
 RUTLAND TOWN

Rutland Town Fire District #1
 Rutland Town Fire District #4
 Rutland Town Fire District #5
 Rutland Town Fire District #8
 Rutland Town-Mendon FD#2
 RYEGATE TOWN
 Ryegate Fire District #2
 SALISBURY TOWN
 SANDGATE TOWN
 Saxtons River Village
 SEARSBURG TOWN
 SHAFTSBURY TOWN
 SHARON TOWN
 SHEFFIELD TOWN
 SHELBURNE TOWN
 SHELDON TOWN
 Sherburne Fire District #1
 SHOREHAM TOWN
 SHREWSBURY TOWN
 SOUTH BURLINGTON CITY
 South Burlington Fire District #1
 South Georgia Fire District #1
 SOUTH HERO TOWN
 South Hero Fire District #4
 South Ryegate Village
 SPRINGFIELD TOWN
 SAINT ALBANS CITY
 SAINT ALBANS TOWN
 SAINT GEORGE TOWN
 SAINT JOHNSBURY TOWN
 STAMFORD TOWN
 STANNARD TOWN
 STARKSBORO TOWN
 STOCKBRIDGE TOWN
 STOWE TOWN
 STRAFFORD TOWN
 STRATTON TOWN
 SUDBURY TOWN
 SUNDERLAND TOWN
 SUTTON TOWN
 SWANTON TOWN
 Swanton Village
 THETFORD TOWN
 TINMOUTH TOWN
 TOPSHAM TOWN
 TOWNSHEND TOWN
 Townshend Village
 TROY TOWN
 TUNBRIDGE TOWN
 UNDERHILL TOWN
 VERGENNES CITY
 VERNON TOWN
 VERSHIRE TOWN
 VICTORY TOWN
 WAITSFIELD TOWN
 WALDEN TOWN WALLINGFORD
 TOWN
 Wallingford Fire District #1
 Wallingford Fire District #2
 WALTHAM TOWN
 WARDSBORO TOWN
 WARREN TOWN

WASHINGTON TOWN
 Washington Fire District #1
 WATERBURY TOWN
 Waterbury Village
 WATERFORD TOWN
 WATERVILLE TOWN
 WEATHERSFIELD TOWN
 Websterville Fire District #3
 WELLS TOWN
 Wells River Village
 West Burke Village
 WEST FAIRLEE TOWN
 WEST HAVEN TOWN
 WEST RUTLAND TOWN
 WEST WINDSOR TOWN
 WESTFIELD TOWN
 WESTFORD TOWN
 WESTMINSTER TOWN
 Westminster Village
 WESTMORE TOWN
 WESTON TOWN
 WEYBRIDGE TOWN
 WHEELOCK TOWN WHITING
 TOWN WHITINGHAM TOWN
 WILLIAMSTOWN TOWN
 WILLISTON TOWN
 WILMINGTON TOWN
 WINDHAM TOWN
 WINDSOR TOWN
 WINHALL TOWN
 Winhall-Stratton Fire District
 WINOOSKI CITY
 WOLCOTT TOWN
 WOODBURY TOWN
 WOODFORD TOWN
 WOODSTOCK TOWN
 Woodstock Village
 WORCESTER TOWN
 Worcester Fire District #1

*Note: The Towns listed in this table include all political subdivisions therein, whether such subdivisions are specifically included on the listing in their own names or not.

VERMONT AGENCY OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

State FY 2005 Municipal Pollution Control Project Priority List
and Federal FY 2004 Clean Water Intended Use Plan

Hearing and Public Responsiveness Summary

Hearing

A public hearing to receive comment on the state *FY 2005 Municipal Pollution Control Projects Priority List and the federal FY 2004 Clean Water Intended Use Plan* prior to adoption was convened at approximately 2:00 pm on Wednesday October 13, 2004. The hearing was held in the Skylight Conference Room at the state office complex in Waterbury, Vermont. Notice of the meeting was sent to those municipalities, organizations, and individuals appearing on the mailing list maintained for this purpose, and was published in the Burlington Free Press, the Rutland Herald, and the Barre Times Argus. Don Robisky of the Facilities Engineering Division, moderated the meeting. Fifteen people, including four agency staff, attended the hearing.

It was noted that the hearing was being taped to assist in the preparation of the responsiveness summary, and that any verbal or written comments received through October 22nd would be considered in the preparation of the final documents. Copies of the draft Priority List and Intended Use Plan, and the Department's Pollution Control Priority System were made available.

General Questions/Responses

- 1) Background: The legislative Act by which the state FY 2004 funds were allocated included the following provision: "It is the intent of the general assembly that all projects listed on the Agency of Natural Resources' one-page document, entitled "Wastewater Project Phase-In List from the Capital Bill Conference Committee" and dated May 20, 2003, that proceed to construction between the effective date of this act and June 30, 2005, will receive all or a portion of the grant funds for which they are eligible in accordance with chapter 55 of Title 10. Where a project proceeds with a grant in an amount less than the amount for which it would ordinarily be eligible, the project will receive elevated priority for funding in subsequent years in order to provide full funding pursuant to statute."

Question: The Town of Pownal has started the first of a projected eight contracts which will result in the construction of a complete sewerage serving the three villages of the town, and will include a new wastewater treatment facility. The proposed state grant funding will not provide the Town with state funds for which it is eligible on a schedule that will meet its construction schedule needs. This creates a serious financial problem for the Town which does not believe it can successfully increase its bonding authority. Specifically why are not all of its contracts eligible for the partial funding discussed above? This Comment was made by the Pownal Selectboard and the town's consulting engineer, and was supported by the Bennington Regional Commission and Representative Botzow.

- Only projects listed on the Wastewater Project Phase-In List discussed above are eligible for partial funding; in Pownal's case this consisted of Contracts 2, 3 and 4, however Contract 2 is not ready to go to construction by July 1, 2005 as required by legislation. Practically, even if other Pownal contracts ready for letting in Fiscal Year 2005 were made eligible for partial funding, Pownal would only receive a relatively small increase in grant monies while other communities on the Partial Funding List would be delayed at least another year in receiving their full eligible grant monies for projects, most of which have been completed and already paid for by the municipality. After the grant commitments to the partially funded projects are met Pownal will have priority for state grant monies, except for those appropriated for the Clean and Clear initiative.

Options available to Pownal for proceeding with its contracting schedule while awaiting state grant appropriations include going to the voters for additional bonding authority, obtaining a bond anticipation note or grant anticipation note, or interim financing through their local financial institution. Either of the latter three can be authorized by the municipal governing body at a duly warned meeting. A bond anticipation note requires that one-twentieth of the principal, and the interest charge be repaid each year. A grant anticipation note requires only payment of the interest, and can be renewed yearly for an indefinite period. Specific abilities and requirements of bond- and grant anticipation notes, and other options for interim funding, should be discussed with the municipality's legal and bond counsels.

- 2) The Town Manger of Castleton expressed appreciation for the inclusion of the planning phase of a sewer extension project for the shoreline of Lake Bomoseen .
- 3) During the comment period and the project survey a number of municipalities and their engineers submitted projects and revisions to existing projects for inclusion on the project lists. Those have been added. In a few cases there were discrepancies in information submitted from different sources. These were checked and resolved based on the best information available.

Agency of Natural Resources
 Department of Environmental Conservation
 Pollution Control Projects By Fiscal Year
 FY 2005

Date Report Run: 4/21/05
 Time Report Run: 10:52:49

Municipality/Funding Information	Phase	Projected Cost	Fed Grant	State Grant	Planning	SRF Loan	State Loan	Points
==== Funding Sources								
a FY 2004 to FY 2005 Carryover			(4,753,800)	(2,621,719)		(10,471,185)	(1,151,259)	
b Bennington FY 2001 Set-aside				24,787				
c Castleton FY 2002 Set-aside				75,000				
d FY 2005 State Appropriation				(4,979,160)				
e FY 2005 Bond Costs				41,735				
f Expense - Local Comm. Imple. Fund				120,000				
g Chapter 120 Admin. Set-aside				250,000				
h Repay Springfield CSO Phase 1				43,507		(43,507)		
i Federal FY 2003 Cap. Grant						(6,467,800)		
j Fed. FY 2003 4% Admin. Set-aside						258,712		
k State Match FY2003 Cap. Grant				1,293,560		(1,293,560)		
l Federal FY 2004 Cap. Grant						(6,471,800)		
m Fed. FY 2004 4% Admin. Set-aside						258,872		
n State Match FY 2004 Cap. Grant				1,294,360		(1,294,360)		
o CWSRF Estimated Loan Repayments						(3,952,028)		
p CWSRF Estimated Interest Income						(96,100)		
q VT-Only Loan Repayments							(34,808)	
r VT-Only Estimated Interest Income							(10,512)	
s '05 TMDL Approp Troy/Jay&Richford				(1,000,000)				
t '05 TMDL Resvd Troy/Jay&Richford				900,000				
u 3% Admin. Set-aside (STAG)			405,200					
v STAG Reserved for Montgomery			967,900					
==== Construction Projects								
F BARTON-VILLAGE OF	OTH	50,000		50,000				3.44
BRANDON-TOWN OF	REF RD funding construction	925,000				(65,800)		12.66
F BURLINGTON-CITY OF	OTH Digester Rehab N&E; 2005 Grant	3,300,000		493,315	(9,144)	2,500,000		12.03
BURLINGTON-CITY OF	OTH Sewer Break Winooski Crossing	300,000				240,000		14.95
F CHAMPLAIN WATER DISTRICT	STW Chittenden County Stormwater	2,200,000	1,446,400					
CHELSEA-TOWN OF	REF Refurbishment - RD Funding	220,000			(13,200)			12.82
CHESTER-TOWN OF	REF Refurbishment; Partial RD Fund	1,500,000				1,000,000		12.25
F COLCHESTER-TOWN OF	OTH 2005 Loan Fund for On-Site Sys	150,000				150,000		4.25
F LUDLOW-VILLAGE OF	PO4 Phosphorus Removal; 2005 Grant	1,010,600		184,458				21.99
LYNDON-TOWN OF	EXT WWTF REF - USDA FUNDING 100%	2,200,000				(145,000)		5.17
F MILTON-TOWN OF	ENL WWTF Enl/PO4 Removal	9,700,000		1,192,811	(14,728)	6,300,000		10.02
NEWPORT-CITY OF	REF WWTF Rehab Redesign Amendments	4,000,000				4,000,000		13.03
NORTH TROY-VILLAGE OF	REF Refurbish plant; RD funding					(9,650)		4.50
NORTH TROY-VILLAGE OF	REF Refurbishment; RD Funding	150,000			(3,995)			4.50
POWNAI-TOWN OF	DWF delayed SRF planning payback					(2,000,000)		44.46
F POWNAI-TOWN OF	DWF FY 2005 Partial Grant			315,738				44.46
PUTNEY-TOWN OF	REF Plant Rehab/ENL	1,200,000				(62,100)		12.00
F RICHMOND-TOWN OF	PO4 Phosphorus Removal; 2005 Grant	3,900,000		147,272		(147,272)		21.25
F ROCHESTER-TOWN OF	DWF New Disp Sys - 2005 Grant	1,990,519		159,061		(159,061)		16.17
SO BURLINGTON-CITY OF	STW Stormwater Management Project	170,000				60,000		5.21
F SPRINGFIELD-TOWN OF	CSO Phase 2, Contract 4; 2005 Gran	2,800,000		168,082	(31,638)	500,000		10.59

NOTE 1: Negative amounts represent Program Income or Surplus; Positive amounts represent Outlay or Deficit

Page 1

NOTE 2: This list is constantly evolving as funding and project content, cost and timing change. Although projections of future years' funding are included, the Department can not commit such funds prior to appropriation. Therefore, this list is intended to serve as a planning tool only. Please keep the Department informed as your project progresses to assist us in determining its position on this planning list.

Agency of Natural Resources
 Department of Environmental Conservation
 Pollution Control Projects By Fiscal Year
 FY 2005

Date Report Run: 4/21/05

Time Report Run: 10:52:49

Municipality/Funding Information	Phase	Projected Cost	Fed Grant	State Grant	Planning	SRF Loan	State Loan	Points	
F SPRINGFIELD-TOWN OF	REF	Phosphorus Removal; 2005 Grant		9,700,000		535,466		23.23	
F ST JOHNSBURY-TOWN OF	CSO	Phase A & B; 2005 Grant		2,500,000		116,798	(107,561)	17.99	
F ST JOHNSBURY-TOWN OF	DWF	East St. Johnsbury On-Site	970,000	400,000	(80,335)			13.06	
TROY AND JAY-TOWNS OF	PO4	Phosphorus Removal; Temporary		500,000		100,000	(42,000)	7.19	
=== Planning And Final Design ===									
BARTON-VILLAGE OF	CSO	CSO removal				25,000		9.96	
BARTON-VILLAGE OF	EXT	Sewer for failed septic system				5,000		7.45	
BARTON-VILLAGE OF	REF	20-Year Evaluation				20,000		9.19	
BRADFORD-TOWN OF	EXT	Lower plains sewer ext				75,000		5.95	
BRIGHTON-TOWN OF	EXT	Sewer Extension; Island Pond				40,000		8.25	
BURLINGTON-CITY OF	OTH	Sewer Break Winooski Crossing				20,000		14.95	
BURLINGTON-CITY OF	OTH	Sewer Break Winooski Crossing				40,000		14.95	
CASTLETON-TOWN OF	EXT	Sewer Expansion; Bomoseen				110,000		8.89	
GEORGIA-TOWN OF	DWF	New system(s); Geo Ctr; I-89/7			10,000	150,000		5.91	
GRAFTON-TOWN OF	DWF	Preliminary				40,000		20.92	
HARDWICK-TOWN OF	REF	Phosphorus Removal				15,000		15.50	
HARDWICK-TOWN OF	REF	Phosphorus Removal				40,000		15.50	
HINESBURG-TOWN OF	ENL	Plant Enlargement; Feasibility				15,000		5.10	
JEFFERSONVILLE-VILLAGE OF	REF	Plant Rehabilitation				5,000		3.70	
JEFFERSONVILLE-VILLAGE OF	REF	Plant Rehabilitation				25,000		3.70	
LYNDON-TOWN OF	STW	Main Street Storm Sewer				10,000		4.81	
MARSHFIELD-VILLAGE OF	REF	REF Air & Pump Station				5,000		11.00	
MORRISTOWN-TOWN OF	EXT	Sewer Extension - Rte. 100N				60,000		5.01	
NEWPORT-CITY OF	CSO	CSO Study of System				20,000		3.70	
NEWPORT-CITY OF	REF	WWTF Rehab Redesign Amendments				5,000		13.03	
NEWPORT-CITY OF	REF	WWTF Rehab Redesign Amendments				60,000		13.03	
NORTHFIELD-VILLAGE OF	EXT	South End Sewer				75,000		8.30	
ORLEANS-VILLAGE OF	EXT	Sewers 58, Water, Brownington				15,000		7.45	
RICHFORD-TOWN OF	PO4	Phosphorus Project				35,000		8.85	
RICHMOND-TOWN OF	OTH	Sewer System Improvements				60,000		5.12	
SHAFTSBURY-TOWN OF	DWF	Feasibility Study; Village			25,000			4.58	
SO BURLINGTON-CITY OF	REF	Airport Parkway ENL				690,000		6.79	
SO BURLINGTON-CITY OF	STW	Stormwater Management Project				50,000		5.21	
SO BURLINGTON-CITY OF	STW	Stormwater Management Project				60,000		5.21	
TROY AND JAY-TOWNS OF	PO4	Phosphorus Removal; Temporary				30,000		7.19	
WATTSFIELD, TOWN OF	OTH	New WWTF Plant and Sewers	964,300			360,000		13.08	
WATERBURY-VILLAGE OF	PO4	Phosphorus Removal				10,000		4.58	
WATERBURY-VILLAGE OF	PO4	Phosphorus Removal				25,000		4.58	
WESTON-TOWN OF	DWF	Feasibility Study for Village			20,000			2.80	
WINOOSKI-CITY OF	STW	Stormwater Treatment				10,000		4.82	
===Fiscal Year Totals===									
		Totals For Fiscal Year: 2005		Estimated Project Cost	Fed Grant	State Grant	Planning	SRF Loan	State Loan
				48,866,119	0	(1,094,929)	(205,601)	(14,934,105)	(1,196,579)
					State Funds Total:		(2,497,109)		

NOTE 1: Negative amounts represent Program Income or Surplus; Positive amounts represent Outlay or Deficit

Page 2

NOTE 2: This list is constantly evolving as funding and project content, cost and timing change. Although projections of future years' funding are included, the Department can not commit such funds prior to appropriation. Therefore, this list is intended to serve as a planning tool only. Please keep the Department informed as your project progresses to assist us in determining its position on this planning list.

Agency of Natural Resources
 Department of Environmental Conservation
 Pollution Control Projects By Fiscal Year
 FY 2006

Date Report Run: 4/21/05
 Time Report Run: 10:53:03

Municipality/Funding Information	Phase	Projected Cost	Fed Grant	State Grant	Planning	SRF Loan	State Loan	Points
==== Funding Sources =====								
a 2006 St Approp. - Phased Projects				(3,450,000)				
b 2006 St Approp. - Match, Admin, Incls				(2,000,000)				
c CHAPTER 120 ADMIN COSTS				300,000				
d Active Project/Contract Increases				400,000				
e Repay Springfield CSO Phase 1				50,000		(50,000)		
f 2005 TMDL \$ Back In				(900,000)				
g 2006 TMDL Richford Increase				(190,000)				
h 2006 TMDL Hardwick, Waterbury				(1,000,000)				
i 2006 TMDL \$ Reserved Hdwk, Watby				1,000,000				
j SRF Interest & Repayments						(4,555,551)		
k EPA 2005 SRF CAP GRANT						(5,243,500)		
l EPA ADMIN 4% SET-ASIDE FY05						209,740		
m STATE MATCH - 2005 SRF CAP GRANT				1,048,700		(1,048,700)		
==== Construction Projects =====								
BARRE-CITY OF	ENL	PROJECT CLOSEOUT		(225,000)				23.41
BRADFORD-TOWN OF	EXT	Lower plains sewer ext	1,500,000			1,400,000		5.95
BURLINGTON-CITY OF	OTH	Digester Rehab N&E; 2006 Grant	3,300,000	747,709		(747,709)		12.03
DERBY-TOWN OF	EXT	Town Sewers; Newport City ENL	1,000,000			1,000,000		5.28
JEFFERSONVILLE-VILLAGE OF	REF	Plant Rehabilitation	280,000			250,000		3.70
LUDLOW-VILLAGE OF	PO4	Phosphorus Removal 2006 Grant		270,590				21.99
LYNDON-TOWN OF	STW	Main Street Storm Sewer	125,000			100,000		4.81
MARSHFIELD-VILLAGE OF	REF	REF Air & Pump Station	150,000			125,000		11.00
MILTON-TOWN OF	ENL	WWTF Enl/P04 2006 Grant		2,078,193		(2,078,193)		10.02
MILTON-TOWN OF	EXT	Catamount Park Sewer	2,700,000			2,500,000		5.55
MORRISTOWN-TOWN OF	EXT	Sewer Extension - Rte. 100N	610,000			550,000		5.01
POWNAI-TOWN OF	DWF	Contr 3&4; '06 Add'l Gt / Int	6,400,000	140,000				44.46
RICHFORD-TOWN OF	PO4	Phosphorus Project	690,000	690,000		(47,000)		8.85
RICHMOND-TOWN OF	OTH	Sewer System Improvements	1,200,000			1,100,000		5.12
RICHMOND-TOWN OF	PO4	PO4 Removal; Remain Grant		218,435		(218,435)		21.25
ROCHESTER-TOWN OF	DWF	New Disp Sys - Remaining Grant		151,240		(151,240)		16.17
SO BURLINGTON-CITY OF	REF	Airport Parkway ENL	14,000,000			13,300,000		6.79
SO BURLINGTON-CITY OF	STW	Stormwater Mangement Plan				60,000		5.21
SPRINGFIELD-TOWN OF	CSO	Phase 2; Contract 4 Remain Gra		249,300				10.59
F SPRINGFIELD-TOWN OF	REF	PO4 Remove; Remaining Grant		573,994				23.23
F ST JOHNSBURY-TOWN OF	CSO	Phase A & B; Remaining Grant	2,500,000	187,045				17.99
TROY AND JAY-TOWNS OF	PO4	Phosphorus Removal; Permanent		400,000				7.19
WAITSFIELD / MAD RIVER PLNG DIST	DWF	PLANNING UPDATE			(6,000)			1.00
WAITSFIELD, TOWN OF	OTH	New WWTF Plant and Sewers	6,700,000			5,000,000		13.08
WARREN-TOWN OF	OTH	1st Year On-site Pilot Program	30,000	1		30,000		3.23
==== Planning And Final Design =====								
BRIGHTON-TOWN OF	EXT	Sewer Extension; Island Pond				80,000		8.25
CASTLETON-TOWN OF	EXT	Sewer Expansion; Bonoseen				210,000		8.89
ENOSBURG FALLS-VILLAGE OF	CSO	CSO Study; Rte 108, Dickinson				10,000		8.58
HINESBURG-TOWN OF	ENL	Plant Enlargement; Prelim Eng				25,000		5.10

NOTE 1: Negative amounts represent Program Income or Surplus; Positive amounts represent Outlay or Deficit

Page 1

NOTE 2: This list is constantly evolving as funding and project content, cost and timing change. Although projections of future years' funding are included, the Department can not commit such funds prior to appropriation. Therefore, this list is intended to serve as a planning tool only. Please keep the Department informed as your project progresses to assist us in determining its position on this planning list.

Agency of Natural Resources
 Department of Environmental Conservation
 Pollution Control Projects By Fiscal Year
 FY 2006

Date Report Run: 4/21/05
 Time Report Run: 10:53:03

Municipality/Funding Information	Phase	Projected Cost	Fed Grant	State Grant	Planning	SRF Loan	State Loan	Points
LYNDON-TOWN OF	EXT Sewer System Improvements	(P)				20,000		5.17
LYNDON-TOWN OF	REF Refurb and Sludge Dewater	(F)				180,000		6.24
LYNDON-TOWN OF	STW Main Street Storm Sewer	(F)				15,000		4.81
MARSHFIELD-VILLAGE OF	REF REF Air & Pump Station	(F)				20,000		11.00
NORTHFIELD-VILLAGE OF	EXT Sewer Ext, Northfield Falls	(P)				75,000		8.30
NORTHFIELD-VILLAGE OF	EXT South End Sewer	(F)				230,000		8.30
PROCTOR-TOWN OF	PHOS TMDL Phosphorus removal	(P)				10,000		8.54
PROCTOR-TOWN OF	PHOS TMDL Phosphorus removal	(F)				25,000		8.54
SO BURLINGTON-CITY OF	STW Stormwater Mangement Plan	(F)				48,000		5.21
ST JOHNSBURY-TOWN OF	CSO Phase C (5)	(F)				138,138		17.99
WINOOSKI-CITY OF	STW Stormwater Treatment	(F)				25,000		4.82
=====Fiscal Year Totals =====								
Totals For Fiscal Year: 2006		Estimated Project Cost	Fed Grant	State Grant	Planning	SRF Loan	State Loan	
		41,185,000	0	740,206	(6,000)	12,595,550	0	
				State Funds Total:	734,206			
Cumulative Totals for FY's 2005 to 2006		Estimated Project Cost	Fed Grant	State Grant	Planning	SRF Loan	State Loan	
		90,051,119	0	(354,723)	(211,601)	(2,338,555)	(1,196,579)	
				State Funds Total:	(1,762,903)			

NOTE 1: Negative amounts represent Program Income or Surplus; Positive amounts represent Outlay or Deficit

Page 2

NOTE 2: This list is constantly evolving as funding and project content, cost and timing change. Although projections of future years' funding are included, the Department can not commit such funds prior to appropriation. Therefore, this list is intended to serve as a planning tool only. Please keep the Department informed as your project progresses to assist us in determining its position on this planning list.

Agency of Natural Resources
 Department of Environmental Conservation
 Pollution Control Projects By Fiscal Year
 FY 2007

Date Report Run: 4/21/05
 Time Report Run: 10:53:14

Municipality/Funding Information	Phase	Projected Cost	Fed Grant	State Grant	Planning	SRF Loan	State Loan	Points
==== Funding Sources =====								
a 2007 State Appropriation				(6,000,000)				
c Chapter 120 Admin Costs				300,000				
e EPA 2006 SRF Cap Gt & State Match				1,300,000		(7,800,000)		
g EPA ADMIN 4% SET-ASIDE FY06						260,000		
h SRF Interest & Repayments						(4,798,643)		
i 2006 TMDL Hdwk, Watby brought fwd.				(1,000,000)				
j 2007 TMDL (Year 3)				(1,100,000)				
k 2007 TMDL (Year 3) reserved				600,000				
l Repay Springfield CSO Phase 1				50,000		(50,000)		
==== Construction Projects =====								
BRIGHTON-TOWN OF	EXT	Sewer Extension; Island Pond		620,000		500,000		8.25
CASTLETON-TOWN OF	EXT	Sewer Expansion; Bomoseen		3,800,000		3,500,000		8.89
HARDWICK-TOWN OF	REF	Phosphorus Removal		500,000		(55,000)		15.50
LYNDON-TOWN OF	REF	Refurb and Sludge Dewater		2,700,000		2,500,000		6.24
NORTHFIELD-VILLAGE OF	EXT	South End Sewer		1,800,000		1,500,000		8.30
POWNAL-TOWN OF	DWF	C1 - WWTF; S/B 065	2,400,000	8,900,000	2,532,806	(29,000)		44.46
POWNAL-TOWN OF	DWF	C5 PSs; S/B 2006		8,200,000	1,063,574			44.46
POWNAL-TOWN OF	DWF	Contr 3&4; 2007 Add'l Grant		6,400,000	1,243,190			44.46
PROCTOR-TOWN OF	PHOS	TMDL Phosphorus removal		500,000	500,000			8.54
SO BURLINGTON-CITY OF	STW	Stormwater Management Plan				60,000		5.21
WATERBURY-VILLAGE OF	PO4	Phosphorus Removal		500,000	500,000	(35,000)		4.58
==== Planning And Final Design =====								
BARTON-VILLAGE OF	CSO	CSO removal	(F)			50,000		9.96
BARTON-VILLAGE OF	EXT	Sewer for failed septic system	(F)			30,000		7.45
BARTON-VILLAGE OF	REF	20-Year Evaluation	(F)			75,000		9.19
LYNDON-TOWN OF	EXT	Sewer System Improvements	(F)			50,000		5.17
NORTHFIELD-VILLAGE OF	EXT	Sewer Ext, Northfield Falls	(F)			220,000		8.30
ORLEANS-VILLAGE OF	EXT	Sewers 58, Water, Brownington	(F)			28,000		7.45
SO BURLINGTON-CITY OF	STW	Stormwater Management Plan	(F)			48,000		5.21
ST JOHNSBURY-TOWN OF	CSO	Phase D (5)	(F)			48,400		17.99
==== Fiscal Year Totals =====								
Totals For Fiscal Year: 2007		Estimated Project Cost	Fed Grant	State Grant	Planning	SRF Loan	State Loan	
		33,920,000	2,400,000	489,570	(29,000)	(3,869,243)	0	
				State Funds Total:	460,570			
Cumulative Totals for FYs 2005 to 2007		Estimated Project Cost	Fed Grant	State Grant	Planning	SRF Loan	State Loan	
		123,971,119	2,400,000	134,847	(240,601)	(6,207,798)	(1,196,579)	
				State Funds Total:	(1,302,333)			

NOTE 1: Negative amounts represent Program Income or Surplus; Positive amounts represent Outlay or Deficit

Page 1

NOTE 2: This list is constantly evolving as funding and project content, cost and timing change. Although projections of future years' funding are included, the Department can not commit such funds prior to appropriation. Therefore, this list is intended to serve as a planning tool only. Please keep the Department informed as your project progresses to assist us in determining its position on this planning list.

Agency of Natural Resources
 Department of Environmental Conservation
 Pollution Control Projects By Fiscal Year
 FY 2008

Date Report Run: 4/21/05
 Time Report Run: 10:53:27

Municipality/Funding Information	Phase	Projected Cost	Fed Grant	State Grant	Planning	SRF Loan	State Loan	Points
==== Funding Sources =====								
a 2008 State Appropriation				(6,000,000)				
c Chapter 120 Admin Costs				300,000				
e Repay Springfield CSO Phase 1				50,000		(50,000)		
g EPA 2007 SRF Cap Gt & State Match				1,300,000		(7,800,000)		
i EPA Admin 4% Set-Aside FY07						260,000		
k SRF Interest & Repayments						(5,400,000)		
m 2008 TMDL (Year 4) (tent: \$1.475M)								
==== Construction Projects =====								
BARTON-VILLAGE OF	CSO	CSO removal		830,000		750,000		9.96
BARTON-VILLAGE OF	EXT	Sewer for failed septic system		450,000		400,000		7.45
BARTON-VILLAGE OF	REF	20-Year Evaluation		1,200,000		1,100,000		9.19
LYNDON-TOWN OF	EXT	Sewer System Improvements		770,000		700,000		5.17
NORTHFIELD-VILLAGE OF	EXT	Sewer Ext, Northfield Falls		1,800,000		1,500,000		8.30
ORLEANS-VILLAGE OF	EXT	Sewers 58, Water, Brownington		440,000		400,000		7.45
POWNAI~TOWN OF	DWF	C2 PVSew,FM, Svcs; S/B 2007		8,200,000	1,563,239			44.46
POWNAI~TOWN OF	DWF	C6 PC Sew, HS North; S/B 2008			546,343			44.46
POWNAI~TOWN OF	DWF	C7&8; NP & PC Svcs; S/B 2007		2,100,000	557,219			44.46
SO BURLINGTON-CITY OF	STW	Stormwater Management Plan				60,000		5.21
SPRINGFIELD-TOWN OF	CSO	Phase 2 - Contract #5 (5)	2	3,400,000	850,000	(23,277)	1,700,000	10.59
==== Planning And Final Design =====								
SO BURLINGTON-CITY OF	STW	Stormwater Management Plan	(F)			48,000		5.21
ST JOHNSBURY-TOWN OF	CSO	Phase E (5)	(F)			8,660		17.99
====Fiscal Year Totals =====								
Totals For Fiscal Year: 2008		Estimated Project Cost	Fed Grant	State Grant	Planning	SRF Loan	State Loan	
		19,190,000	0	(833,199)	(23,277)	(6,323,340)	0	
				State Funds Total:	(856,476)			
Cumulative Totals for FYs 2005 to 2008		Estimated Project Cost	Fed Grant	State Grant	Planning	SRF Loan	State Loan	
		143,161,119	2,400,000	(698,352)	(263,878)	(12,531,138)	(1,196,579)	
				State Funds Total:	(2,158,809)			

NOTE 1: Negative amounts represent Program Income or Surplus; Positive amounts represent Outlay or Deficit

Page 1

NOTE 2: This list is constantly evolving as funding and project content, cost and timing change. Although projections of future years' funding are included, the Department can not commit such funds prior to appropriation. Therefore, this list is intended to serve as a planning tool only. Please keep the Department informed as your project progresses to assist us in determining its position on this planning list.

Agency of Natural Resources
 Department of Environmental Conservation
 Pollution Control Projects By Fiscal Year
 FY 2009

Date Report Run: 4/21/05
 Time Report Run: 10:53:40

Municipality/Funding Information	Phase	Projected Cost	Fed Grant	State Grant	Planning	SRF Loan	State Loan	Points
==== Funding Sources =====								
a State Appropriation				(6,000,000)				
c Chapter 120 Admin Costs				300,000				
e Repay Springfield CSO Phase 1				50,000		(50,000)		
g EPA FY 2008 Cap Gt & State Match				1,300,000		(7,800,000)		
i EPA 4% Admin. Costs FY'08 Grant						260,000		
k SRF Interest & Repayments						(5,600,000)		
m 2009 TMDL (Year 5) (tent. \$1.575M)								
==== Construction Projects =====								
SO BURLINGTON-CITY OF	STW Stormwater Management Plan					60,000		5.21
ST JOHNSBURY-TOWN OF	CSO Phase C (5)	2,205,200		111,969	(11,548)	990,000		17.99
ST JOHNSBURY-TOWN OF	CSO Phase D (5)	749,780		42,814	(46,619)	332,000		17.99
ST JOHNSBURY-TOWN OF	CSO Phase E (5)	40,000		9,000	(137,247)	15,500		17.99
==== Planning And Final Design =====								
SO BURLINGTON-CITY OF	STW Stormwater Management Plan	(F)				48,000		5.21
==== Fiscal Year Totals =====								
Totals For Fiscal Year: 2009		Estimated Project Cost	Fed Grant	State Grant	Planning	SRF Loan	State Loan	
		2,994,980	0	(4,186,217)	(195,414)	(11,744,500)	0	
				State Funds Total:	(4,381,631)			
Cumulative Totals for FY's 2005 to 2009		Estimated Project Cost	Fed Grant	State Grant	Planning	SRF Loan	State Loan	
		146,156,099	2,400,000	(4,884,569)	(459,292)	(24,275,638)	(1,196,579)	
				State Funds Total:	(6,540,440)			

NOTE 1: Negative amounts represent Program Income or Surplus; Positive amounts represent Outlay or Deficit

Page 1

NOTE 2: This list is constantly evolving as funding and project content, cost and timing change. Although projections of future years' funding are included, the Department can not commit such funds prior to appropriation. Therefore, this list is intended to serve as a planning tool only. Please keep the Department informed as your project progresses to assist us in determining its position on this planning list.

P-LIST ABBREVIATIONS AND NOTATIONS

The P-list is divided into three principal sections: the first section shows the anticipated funding sources; the second section lists construction projects and those planning (step 1 and step 2) projects anticipated to receive planning money through the SRF and are designated with a 1 or 2 in the project cost column to help distinguish them from the construction projects; and the third section shows planning projects which are expected to receive funding via state planning advance rather than the SRF.

“**REF**” stands for **refurbishment**. When a facility’s NPDES permit is renewed for the five year period within which its twentieth year of operation falls, the permit includes a requirement to perform an evaluation of the facility to identify the improvements and renovations that are necessary to enable the facility to continue to operate efficiently and meet its discharge standards for another twenty to twenty-five year design period.

“**CSO**” stands for **combined sewer overflow** abatement projects. Combined sewers carry both wastewater and stormwater and become hydraulically overloaded during rainfall events. This results in overflows to surface waters that are in violation of state and federal standards.

“**PO4**” stands for **phosphorus** removal projects. State statute prohibits any discharge to the drainage basins of Lake Champlain and Lake Memphremagog that contains a phosphorus concentration in excess of 0.8 milligrams per liter on a monthly-average basis.

“**DWF**” represents **dry weather flow** projects. These are projects which abate pollution that occurs during dry weather periods, when systems are not stressed by rainfall events (see CSO discussion). Examples are the construction of a collection system and new treatment facility to eliminate direct discharges to surface waters, or the extension of a sewerage system to collect the flows from failing septic tank or leach field systems and convey them to an existing treatment facility.

“**ENL**” stands for **enlargement** of current facilities to handle increased wastewater flows resulting from municipal growth. These projects receive relatively low priority.

“**SCH**” designation is for **school** projects. School districts can obtain planning advances to evaluate the most effective means to provide water supply and wastewater treatment for new or expanding schools, or to investigate how best to correct current problems. These projects receive increased priority for the necessary planning. Construction is typically funded through the Department of Education.

“**OTH**” stands for **other**, and represents projects that do not neatly fit into the primary categories.

“**STW**” stands to **stormwater**, and represents stormwater management projects that can be funded through the CWSRF.